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Introduction

This presentation provides an overview of:

- Recent trends in U.S. transmission investments
- Transmission investment by type of equipment and compared to generation and distribution
- Impact of coal plant retirements, renewable generation development, and the need to replace aging transmission infrastructure
- Drivers of transmission needs and likely investments over next decades

Our analysis of a wide range of sources and drivers document the dynamics of transmission needs and investment opportunities:

- $120–160 billion of total projected U.S. transmission investment over next decade
- Significant regional differences in level, timing, and non-incumbent access of likely needs
Historical and Projected Transmission Investments


Sources and Notes: The Brattle Group’s analysis of FERC Form 1 data compiled in Ventyx’s Velocity Suite. Based on EIA data available through 2003, FERC-jurisdictional transmission owners estimated to account for 80% of transmission assets in the Eastern Interconnection, and 60% in WECC and ERCOT. Facilities >300kV estimated to account for 60-80% of shown investments. EEI annual transmission expenditures updated June 2015 shown (2008-2017) based on prior year’s actual investment through 2013 and planned investment thereafter.
Transmission vs. Other Electric Utility Investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>As of</th>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Transmission</th>
<th>Gas-Related</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>August 2012</td>
<td>$94.4 B</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>October 2013</td>
<td>$95.2 B</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Total company functional spending of U.S. Shareholder-Owned Electric Utilities (representing approx. 70% of US total)
Source: Edison Electric Institute, Finance Department, company reports (October 2013)
http://www.eei.org/resourcesandmedia/energynews/Pages/Electric%20Power%20Industry%20Capital%20Investment%20Expected%20to%20 Remain%20at%20Record%20Level.aspx
Transmission Investments by Equipment Type

Investment in transmission infrastructure by investor-owned utilities (1997-2012)
billions of 2012 dollars

Source: http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=17711
Transmission Investments by Type of Need

Projected Transmission Capital Expenditures by Type of Activity Years 2014 to 2017

Source: Edison Electric Institute (2014)
Historical Circuit-Mile Additions Document Aging Grid

- Most of the existing grid was built 30-50+ years ago
- Even relatively high recent and projected circuit miles additions are below levels of additions in 1960s and 1970s

Period of Major Base Load Generator Additions and NERC-coordinated Construction of Regional Interties between Major Utilities to Improve Reliability in Response to Blackouts
Replacing and Upgrading Aging Transmission Will Require Significant Investments

- If all facilities had to be replaced after 50 to 80 years, investment need could increase by $5 billion/yr over next decade.
- Some of these replacements may become large upgrades open to non-incumbents.

Projected Circuit Miles Replaced/Upgraded and Total Projected Investment ($m)

Assumes circuit mile costs equal to those of new lines.
Renewables Additions to Meet RPS and the CPP will Drive Transmission Investment

- We estimate $25-40 billion of transmission is still needed nationwide to accommodate ramp-up of existing state RPS requirements through 2025
- EPA estimates about 90 GW of new wind/renewables to meet Clean Power Plan, implying almost $50 billion of likely additional transmission needs
- With alternative assumptions, 110 GW of new wind generation and $60 billion of transmission could be needed to achieve the CPP’s emission rate reductions

Estimated U.S. Transmission Investment Driven by Renewables through 2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimated Wind Capacity (GW)</th>
<th>Existing State RPS</th>
<th>EPA Estimate w/ CPP</th>
<th>Brattle Estimate w/ CPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transmission</td>
<td>$billion</td>
<td>20-33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnection related</td>
<td>$billion</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Transmission</strong></td>
<td>$billion</td>
<td>25-40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources and Notes:
Brattle Estimate with the CPP assumes 50% of required emission rate reduction achieved through added wind generation.
Transmission Investments Driven by Coal Retirements: Likely Relatively Modest

- Large-scale coal retirements projected even without EPA’s CPP
  - EPA estimates 60 GW of coal retirements by 2025 in its Base Case (w/o CPP, driven by MATS, low gas prices, etc.)
- EPA estimates 50 GW of additional coal retirements due to CPP by 2025
- We estimated potential U.S. transmission needs driven by coal retirements based on PJM experience
  - $10 billion without implementation of CPP
  - $20 billion with CPP

Estimated Transmission Needs Driven by Coal Retirements through 2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPA Projected Coal Retirements (GW)</th>
<th>Potential Transmission Investment ($ billion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Case (w/o CPP)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under the CPP</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transmission Investment Driven by Coal Retirements: Key Uncertainties Remain

Key factors remain unclear about the relationship between coal retirements and transmission investment

- Uncertainty about final version of the Clean Power Plan
- Uncertainty about how states will choose to implement it
  - Regional cooperation will likely reduce the amount of retirements and transmission investment needed
- Uncertainty about coal-to-gas conversions
  - Regulated entities likely to face pressure to convert existing facilities
  - Conversions less likely in regions with deregulated retail markets because coal plant locations often not attractive for new merchant gas plants
- Older coal units most effected by regulation and declining economics
  - Likely connected to lower-voltage transmission lines
- Available low-cost transmission solution
- Expansion of EE and DR may manage peak loads and mitigate some need for transmission investment
Projected Transmission Investment Opportunities

- Renewable Generation Additions
- Aging Facilities
- Interregional Buildout
- Coal Plant Retirement and Clean Power Plan
- Reliability Upgrades, Gen Interconnection, Load Serving
- Pockets of High Load Growth (e.g., Oil & Gas Development)

Projected U.S. Investments through 2030:
$120-160 billion/decade

Ultimately Open to Non-Incumbents?

Sources and Notes:
The $120-160 billion projection per decade was originally developed in conjunction with WIRES for “Employment and Economic Benefits of Transmission Investment in the US and Canada,” May 2011. This projection has since been refined and regionalized in several client-confidential analyses.
Emerging Non-Incumbent Business Models

Non-incumbent transmission developers have become increasingly active through a number of distinct business models:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Model</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Project-specific transmission partnerships with incumbents</td>
<td>AEP and ITC “Novation” JVs in SPP, JVs to bid transmission in Alberta, Ontario, and CAISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Public-private partnerships</td>
<td>MATL, Transbay Cable, Path15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Independent transmission project developers</td>
<td>Cleanline, Anbaric/PowerBridge, TDI, TransElect, AWC, Anschutz, ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Transmission (initially) bundled with renewables/generation</td>
<td>NextEra, LS Power, RES Americas, First Wind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Incumbent-owned transmission development subsidiaries and JVs</td>
<td>Transource, Duke-ATC; BHE and Ameren Transmission Cos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Independent and semi-independent transmission companies</td>
<td>ITC, ATC, Hunt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Transmission investment will remain strong over the next decade

- Key Drivers: aging facilities, renewable additions, coal retirements replaced by new generation, pockets of strong load growth
- Significant opportunities for competitive transmission but timing, level, and non-incumbent access of investments will vary significantly across regions
- Uncertainties paralyzes industry, which is currently delaying the planning of valuable new infrastructure investments

Uncertain impact of coal retirements on transmission needs

- Implementation details of EPA’s Clean Power Plan still uncertain
- Coal retirements and shift in generation mix already occurring
- Transmission solutions may vary greatly in costs; targeted EE/DR can reduce transmission need
- Coal retirements will accelerate natural gas and renewable generation development opportunities with their own transmission needs
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